

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/648,497	Applicant(s) IDICULA ET AL.	
	Examiner Dangelino N. Gortayo	Art Unit 2168	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Dangelino N. Gortayo.

(3) _____

(2) Christian A. Nicholes (Reg No. 50,266).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 January 2008

Time: 4:30 p.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

current rejection of record

Claims discussed:

Claims 28 and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

prior art of record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims as directly resulted in the Examiner's Amendments. The term "computer-readable medium" in claims 28 and 30 were discussed as it relates to the specification, particularly paragraph 89 of the specification..